

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

**Applicant** 

ECCENT.003RA

Diaz et al.

Appl. No.

09/821,371

Filed

March 29, 2001

For

PERSONALIZED HAND HELD

CALORIE COMPUTER

Examiner

Cosimano, Edward R.

Group Art Unit 3629

RECEIV

MAY 0 7 2003

**GROUP 3600** 

## **RESPONSE**

United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 2327 Arlington, VA 22202

## Dear Sir:

In the Office Action dated April 3, 2003, the Examiner stated that Applicants' "communication filed on 04 March 2003 is non-response to the prior Office action because: A) [A]pplicant merely submitted a copy of the original patent and not the entire actual patent grant as required." Applicants respectfully submit that the original patent grant was submitted to the United States Patent Office as indicated by the enclosed copies of i) the Transmittal Letter dated February 27, 2003, ii) the Response dated February 27, 2003, and iii) the Return Postcard dated February 27, 2003, which lists the original patent as one of the enclosed contents. As such, Applicants respectfully submit that the Office Action dated April 3, 2003 was issued in error and that the above-reference application is in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: 4-30-03

By:

Rabinder N. Narula Registration No. 53,371 Attorney of Record Customer No. 20,995

(949) 760-0404

H:\DOCS\RNN\RNN-6366.DOC 043003